

Syzygies of Algebraic Varieties

Lawrence Ein
University of Illinois
at Chicago

These lectures are based on a book that is under preparation together with Rob Lazarsfeld.

The first two lectures will be elementary introduction. In the last two lectures, we'll discuss some recent research.

Lecture I. Introduction and examples.

One of the best ways to input a variety or a module to a computer is to input the presentation of ^{the} ~~a~~ module. It was the basic insight of Hilbert that it would be ^{very} useful to consider the full resolution of the module.

Theorem of Hilbert if

$G = \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ (or ~~a~~ more generally a linear reductive ~~gr~~ group. ~~Let~~ Let V be a representation of G . Set

$S = \text{Sym}^n(V) \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$. Consider

$S^G = R = \{f \in S \mid g \cdot f = f\}$. Then

S^G is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra.

Hilbert shows that the Hilbert series

$$h_R(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\dim R_m) t^m$$
 is a rational

function.

More generally, suppose M is a finitely generated graded S -module.

Set the Hilbert series of M to be

$$H_M(t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (\dim M_m) t^m.$$

Observe $\dim M_m = 0$ if $m < 0$, since

M is finitely generated. Assume

$\deg X_i = a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ for $i=1, \dots, n$.

Theorem (Hilbert) There is a Laurent polynomial $Q_M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ such that

$$H_M(t) = \frac{Q_M(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t^{a_i})}.$$

Proof. First we give an indirect proof by induction n . Consider the exact complex

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker} \rightarrow M(-dn) \xrightarrow{x_n} M \rightarrow \frac{M}{x_n M} \rightarrow 0$$

Observe that Ker and $\frac{M}{x_n M}$ are finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ grade modules.

We have the relation

$$[1 - t^{dn}] H_M(t) = H_{\frac{M}{x_n M}}(t) - H_X(t)$$

By induction, the right side is of the form

$$\frac{R(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - t^{d_i})}$$

Hence $H_M(t)$ has the desired form.

Suppose now that M has a finite grade free resolution of the form.

$$0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \quad (*)$$

$$H_M(t) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^n (-1)^\lambda H_{F_\lambda}(t).$$

$$F_\lambda(t) = \bigoplus_j S(-j)^{\beta_{ij}}$$

$$H_{S(-j)}(t)$$

$$S(-j) = t^j \cdot H_S(t) = \frac{t^j}{\prod_{\lambda=1}^n (1-t^{d_\lambda})}$$

$$H_{F_\lambda}(t) = \sum_j \beta_{ij} \cdot \frac{t^j}{\prod_{\lambda=1}^n (1-t^{d_\lambda})}.$$

So from the resolution of M ,

We can compute $H_M(t)$.

Let assume assume $\deg x_1 = a_1 = 1$
for $\lambda = 1, \dots, m$.

$$H_M(t) = \frac{Q_M(t)}{(1-t)^n}, \quad \text{We can factor}$$

$$Q_M(t) = (1-t)^c E_M(t), \quad \text{where } E_M(1) \neq 0.$$

We can rewrite

$$H_M(t) = \frac{E_M(t)}{(1-t)^d}, \quad \text{where } d = n - c.$$

Write

$$E_M(t) = \sum_{\lambda=r}^s b_{\lambda} t^{\lambda} \quad \text{is called the Euler}$$

$$\text{polynomial of } M. \quad \text{Since } \frac{1}{(1-t)^d} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{d-1+j}{d-1} t^j.$$

We see for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suffical large.

$$\dim M_x = \sum_{\lambda=r}^s b_{\lambda} \binom{d-1+(x-\lambda)}{d-1} = h_M(x).$$

It is suffical to choos $x \geq s$.

Observe that

$$\dim M_x = h_M(x)$$

$$h_M(x) = \frac{\left(\sum_{j=0}^s b_j\right) x^{d-1}}{(d-1)!} + \text{lower terms.}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^s b_j = E_M(1) \neq 0. \quad \text{So } \dim M_x = O(x^{d-1}).$$

It follows from dimension theory,

$\dim M = d$. It remains to see that
a resolution as in (*) and whether
there is a "best" resolution.

Throughout these lectures, we'll
assume the base field k
is algebraically closed and of characteristic
zero.

Let $S = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, and when

$\deg X_i = 1$. Set $S_+ = \langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$ be the

unique homogeneous ideal in S .

$k = S/S_+$ as a ~~Gr~~ graded S -module

~~Sta~~
Lemma 1.2. Let ~~M~~ be a (Graded Nakayama's Lemma).

Let M be a finitely generated graded S -Module. The following are equivalent.

(i) $M \neq 0$

(ii) $S_+ M \neq M$

(iii) $M \otimes S/S_+ = M \otimes_S k \neq 0$.

If $M \neq 0$, then
 Proof. Observe there an integer $l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$
 such that $M_{l_0} \neq 0$, but $M_l = 0$ for
 $l < l_0$. But $(S+M)_{l_0} = 0$ so (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).
 (ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) are clear. $\square$

Corollary 13. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be
 a morphism of graded S -modules, where
 where N is finitely generated. Then
 φ is surjective if and only if $\varphi_{\otimes k}$
 is surjective.

Consider

$$F_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M \rightarrow 0$$

be a presentation by finitely generated
 graded free S -module. ε corresponds
 to a minimal set of homogeneous generators
 if and only if $\varepsilon \otimes_S k$ is an isomorphism.
 Equivalently $\delta_i \otimes_S k = 0$. This is saying all
 the entries of matrix of δ_i are in S_+ .
 By choosing a minimal set of homogeneous
 generators of $\ker \varepsilon$ etc. We get
 a "minimal resolution" of the form

$$F_m \xrightarrow{\delta_m} F_{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M \rightarrow 0$$

 where $\delta_i \otimes_S k = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Theorem 1.4. (Hilbert's Syzygies theorem).

Let M be a finitely generated graded S -module. Then M has a minimal graded

free resolution of form

$$0 \rightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{d_n} \dots \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M \rightarrow 0.$$

where $F_n \otimes k = \text{Tor}_n(M, k)$ as a finite dimensional graded vector space. Furthermore, any two minimal graded free resolutions of M are isomorphic.

Proof. Let

$$\cdots F_n \xrightarrow{d_n} F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M$$

be a minimal graded free resolution of M . Then $F_i \otimes k \cong \text{Tor}_i(M, k)$, since $d_i \otimes k = 0$. To show $F_{n+1} = 0$, we just need to show $\text{Tor}_{n+1}(M, k) = 0$. One can also use a resolution of k by the Koszul complex. Set $V = S_1 = \bigoplus kx_i$.

$$K: 0 \rightarrow \wedge^n V \otimes S_{G-n} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow V \otimes S_{G-1} \rightarrow S \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

is a resolution of k . $\text{Tor}(M, k)$ is completely $K \otimes M$. Hence $\text{Tor}_{n+1}(M, k) = 0$ as desired.

Graded Betti numbers of M

Suppose that i th piece of the resolution $F_i = \bigoplus S(-j)^{\beta_{ij}}$.

Example 1.5 for the twisted cubic curve.

$C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$, The homogeneous ideal

$$S = k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$$

$$I_C = \langle \overset{\textcircled{1}}{x_1 x_3 - x_2^2}, \overset{\textcircled{2}}{-x_1 x_4 + x_2 x_3}, \overset{\textcircled{3}}{x_2 x_4 - x_3^2} \rangle.$$

which the generators are given by

the (2×2) -minors of $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}$.

The resolution of the homogeneous ideal I_C

The resolution of I_C

$$0 \rightarrow 2 \overset{F_1}{\underset{||}{S(-3)}} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} 3 \overset{F_0}{\underset{||}{S(-2)}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} I_C \rightarrow 0$$

$$\delta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_3 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon = (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$$

Observe that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_3 & x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_4 & x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Expand using the first columns, one

See $\delta_1 \circ \varepsilon = 0$.

. In this case $\beta_{0,2} = 3$ and $\beta_{1,3} = 2$.

Otherwise, they are zero.

Exactness follows from the Hilbert-Burch theorem about resolution of the homogenous ideal I_X of ~~cod~~ a codimension two variety when the projective dimension of I_X is one. Then I_X is given by the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ minors of a $n \times n-1$ matrix of homogenous polynomials. For details, see Eisenbud's book.

In the example we are resolving I_X where X is the cone of twisted cubic cubic in $\mathbb{A}^4$.

Example ¹⁶ Take $S = k[x, y]$.

19

Let $I = \langle xy, x^3, y^5 \rangle$.

Then S/I has resolution

$$0 \rightarrow S(-4) \oplus S(-6) \xrightarrow{B} S(-2) \oplus S(-3) \oplus S(-5) \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow 0$$

where $B = \begin{pmatrix} x^2 & y^4 \\ -y & 0 \\ 0 & -x \end{pmatrix}$. One sees the

2×2 minors generated the ideal I .

This is another illustration of the Hilbert - Burch theorem in the homogen

Setting. $\beta_{00}(S/I) = 1, \beta_{1,2} = \beta_{13} = \beta_{15} = 1$

$\beta_{2,4} = 1, \beta_{2,6} = 1$.

Example 1.7 Rank 1 locus of a
generic $2 \times n$ matrix.

Let A be a n -dimensional vector space and B be a 2-dimensional vector space. We would like to understand the syzygies of the rank ≤ 1 locus of $\text{Hom}(A, B) \simeq A^* \otimes B$.

We consider $\mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(A, B)) = \mathbb{P}(A^* \otimes B)$
 $= \mathbb{P}((B^* \otimes A)) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$. First $\mathbb{P}$ is the projective space of lines. $\mathbb{P}$ is the second $\mathbb{P}$ we think of as space of 1-dimensional quotient.

On $\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$, we have a surjection

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \otimes B^* \otimes A \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

This induces the universal map

$$A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}}(1)$$

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & \cdots & x_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \quad x_{ij}'s \text{ are the}$$

coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$.

Set

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(B \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}}) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{P}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

Let π_1 and π_2 be the two projection maps. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(a, b)$ the line bundle

$$\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}}(a) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b).$$

On $\mathbb{P}$, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^* (A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \pi^* B \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2n-1}}(1) \\ & \searrow \beta & \downarrow \psi \\ & & \pi^* B \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 \end{array}$$

Let Y be the zero set of β

For each point $g \in \mathbb{P}(B)$, we are asking to find the $M \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$, -EB

such that the composition map

$$A \xrightarrow{M} B \rightarrow g \rightarrow 0$$

is the

zero map. Let $S \subseteq B$ be the kernel

of $B \rightarrow g \rightarrow 0$. Then the solution set

is just $\mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(A, S))$. We see

Y is a $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ -bundle over $\mathbb{P}(B)$.

We see $\dim Y = n$. $\dim \mathbb{P}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^1 = 2n$.

So Y has codimension n in $\mathbb{P}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

So locally $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1, -1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$

give a regular sequence of length n .

On $\mathbb{P}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, we have a

Koszul complex

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^n A \otimes \mathcal{O}(-n, -n) \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\beta_2} A \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1, -1) \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$$

Set $X = \pi_1(Y)$. is the precise

the rank one locus in $\mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(A, B))$.

On ~~each~~ ^{Consider} $x \in X$, the $\mathbb{P} \simeq Y \rightarrow X$.

$x \in X$, the fiber is just $\mathbb{P}(\text{coker } \varphi_x) \simeq \mathbb{P}^0$

So $X \simeq Y$. Further one checks

$$\pi_{1*} \mathcal{O}_Y(1, 0) \simeq \pi_{2*} \mathcal{O}_X(1, 0) \simeq A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}.$$

We see $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B)$.

In term of linear algebra, it
 says a rank one map M from A
 to B is determine ~~ker~~ $\text{Im } M$ a ~~rank~~
~~dimensional subspace~~ of 1-dimensional quotient space
 of A , and regard $\text{Im } M$ as a
 1-dimense subsp of B . Here $\times$
 is isomorph to $\mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B)$.

Apply π_{1x} to Koszul complex 28

we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_{1x} \ker \beta_0 \rightarrow \pi_{1x} \mathcal{O}_D \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \pi_{1x} \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0$$

$\parallel$ $\parallel$
 $\mathcal{I}_X$ $\mathcal{O}_{D^{2n-1}}$

$\Leftrightarrow$

From $0 \rightarrow \ker \beta_1 \rightarrow A(\mathcal{O}_D^{(n-1)}) \rightarrow \ker \beta_0 \rightarrow$

We see $\pi_{1x}(\ker \beta_1) = R^1 \pi_{1x} \ker \beta_1$.

Chasing further

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{1x}^* \mathcal{O}(-n, -n) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{1x}^* (\wedge^2 A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D^{2n-2}}) \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{1x}^* \ker \beta_0 \rightarrow 0$$

— (1.7.1)

is exact.

By Kunneth formula, we see

$$R^1 \pi_{1x}^* \mathcal{O}(-j, -j) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_{D^{2n-1}}(-j)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D^{2n-1}}(-j)$$

$$\simeq (S^{j-2} B)^* \otimes (\det B)^* \simeq (S^{n-2} B)^* \otimes (\wedge^2 B)^*$$

hyperplane class from $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and
 H_2 be the pull back of the hyperplane
 class of $\mathbb{P}^1$.

$$\text{Then } \deg X = (H_1 + H_2)^n = \binom{n}{n-1} H_1^{n-1} H_2 = n.$$

Since $H_2^j = 0$ for $j \geq 2$ and $H_1^l = 0$ for $l \geq n$.

$$\text{So } \deg X = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(X) + 1.$$

This is an example of a variety
 of minimal degree.