## COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA NOTES

#### CHEN JIANG

### Contents

1.	Introduction	1
1.1.	Nakayama's lemma	1
1.2.	Noetherian rings	2
1.3.	Associated primes	2
1.4.	Tensor products and Tor	3
References		5

## 1. Introduction

In this lecture, we consider a (Noetherian) commutative ring R with identity element.

I will assume that students know about basic definitions and properties of rings, ideals, modules, morphisms (e.g. Chapter 1–3 of [1]). Our main textbook is [2]. We will cover selected topics in order to serve the lecture of geometry of syzygies ([2, Section 17–19]).

1.1. Nakayama's lemma. The Jacobson radical J(R) of R is the intersection of all maximal ideals. Note that  $y \in J(R)$  iff 1 - xy is a unit in R for every  $x \in R$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (Nakayama's lemma). Let I be an ideal contained in the Jacobson radical of R, and M a finitely generated R-module. If IM = M, then M = 0.

**Lemma 1.2.** Let I be an R-ideal and M a finitely generated R-module. If IM = M, then there exists  $y \in I$  such that (1 - y)M = 0.

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the Caylay–Hamilton theorem. Consider  $m_1, \ldots, m_n$  a set of generators in M, then there exists an  $n \times n$  matrix A with coefficients in I such that  $(m_1, \ldots, m_n)^T = A(m_1, \ldots, m_n)^T$ . Set  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)^T$ . Hence  $(I_n - A)\mathbf{m} = 0$ . Note that  $\mathrm{adj}(I_n - A)(I_n - A) = \mathrm{det}(I_n - A)I_n$ , we know that  $\mathrm{det}(I_n - A)\mathbf{m} = 0$ , that is,  $\mathrm{det}(I_n - A)m_i = 0$  for all i. This implies that  $\mathrm{det}(I_n - A)M = 0$ .

**Example 1.3.** If we do not assume that M is finitely generated, this is not true. For example, consider  $R = k[[x]], M = k[[x, x^{-1}]].$ 

**Corollary 1.4.** Let I be an ideal contained in the Jacobson radical of R, and M a finitely generated R-module. If N + IM = M for some submodule  $N \subset M$ , then M = N.

Date: July 20, 2020.

*Proof.* Apply Nakayama's lemma to M/N.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring and M a finitely generated R-module. Consider  $m_1, \ldots, m_n \in M$ . If  $\overline{m}_1, \ldots, \overline{m}_n \in M/\mathfrak{m}M$  is a basis (as a  $R/\mathfrak{m}$ -vector space), then  $m_1, \ldots, m_n$  generates M (which is also a minimal set of generators.)

*Proof.* Apply Corollary 1.4 to N the submodule generated by  $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ .

# 1.2. Noetherian rings.

**Definition 1.6** (Noetherian ring). A ring R is *Noetherian* if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- (1) Every non-empty set of ideals has a maximal element;
- (2) The set of ideals satisfies the ascending chain condition (ACC);
- (3) Every ideal is finitely generated.

In this lecture, we assume all rings are Noetherian and all modules are finitely generated for simplicity.

**Theorem 1.7** (Hilbert basis theorem). If R is Noetherian, then R[x] is Noetherian.

Idea of proof. Consider  $I \subset R[x]$  an ideal. Consider  $J \subset R$  the leading coefficients of I, then J is finitely generated. We may assume that J is generated by the leading coefficients of  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R[x]$ . Take I' be the ideal generated by  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ , then it is easy to see that any  $f \in I$  can be written as f = f' + g with  $f' \in I'$  and  $\deg g < \max_i \{\deg f_i\} = r$ . So

$$I = I \cap (R \oplus Rx \oplus \cdots \oplus Rx^{r-1}) + I'$$

is finitely generated. (Check that  $I \cap (R \oplus Rx \oplus \cdots \oplus Rx^{r-1})$  is finitely generated!)

**Example 1.8.** Any quotient of polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I$  is Noetherian.

1.3. **Associated primes.** We will use the notion (A:B) to define the set  $\{a \mid aB \subset A\}$  whenever it makes sense. For example, if  $N, N' \subset M$  are R-modules and I an ideal, then we can define (N:I) as a submodule of M, and (N':N) an ideal. Usually the set (0:N) is denoted by  $\operatorname{ann}(N)$  and called the  $\operatorname{annihilator}$  of N, that is, the set of elements whose multiplication action kills N.

**Definition 1.9** (Associated prime). A prime P of R is associated to M if  $P = \operatorname{ann}(x)$  for some  $x \in M$ .

Associated primes are important in the primary decomposition. But here we mainly focus on its relation with zero-divisors.

**Theorem 1.10.** Let R be a Noetherian ring and M a finitely generated R-module. Then the union of associated primes to M consists of zero and zero-divisors. Moreover, there are only finitely many associated primes.

*Proof.* We want to show that

$$\bigcup_{\text{ann}(x): \text{prime}} \text{ann}(x) = \bigcup_{x \neq 0} \text{ann}(x).$$

So it suffices to show that if  $\operatorname{ann}(y)$  is maximal among all  $\operatorname{ann}(x)$ , then  $\operatorname{ann}(y)$  is prime. Consider  $rs \in \operatorname{ann}(y)$  such that  $s \notin \operatorname{ann}(y)$ , then rsy = 0 but  $sy \neq 0$ . We know that  $\operatorname{ann}(y) \subset \operatorname{ann}(sy)$ , so equality holds by maximality. This implies that  $r \in \operatorname{ann}(y)$ .

To prove the finiteness, we only outline the idea here. Denote  $\mathrm{Ass}(M)$  the set of associated primes. Then it is not hard to see that for a short exact sequence

$$0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$$
,

we have

$$\operatorname{Ass}(M') \subset \operatorname{Ass}(M) \subset \operatorname{Ass}(M') \cup \operatorname{Ass}(M'').$$

So inductively we get the finiteness.

Remark 1.11. Another fact is that if P is a prime minimal among all primes containing ann(M), then P is an associated prime.

**Corollary 1.12.** Let R be a Noetherian ring and M a finitely generated R-module. Let I be an ideal. Then either I contains a non zero-divisor on M, or I annihilated a non-zero element of M.

*Proof.* Suppose that I contains only zero-divisors on M, then by Theorem 1.10,  $I \subset \bigcup_{\operatorname{ann}(x): \operatorname{prime}} \operatorname{ann}(x)$ . So the conclusion follows from the following easy lemma.

**Lemma 1.13.** Let I be an ideal and let  $P_1, \ldots, P_n$  be primes of R. If  $I \subset \bigcup_i P_i$ , then  $I \subset P_i$  for some i.

1.4. **Tensor products and Tor.** Let M, N be R-modules, the *tensor product*  $M \otimes N$  is defined by the module generated by

$$\{m \otimes n \mid m \in M, n \in N\},\$$

modulo relations

$$(m+m') \otimes n = m \otimes n + m' \otimes n;$$
  
 $m \otimes (n+n') = m \otimes n + m \otimes n';$   
 $(rm) \otimes n = m \otimes (rn) = r(m \otimes n)$ 

for  $m \in M, n \in N, r \in R$ . It can be characterized by the universal property that if  $f: M \times N \to P$  is an R-bilinear map, then there exists a unique  $g: M \otimes N \to P$  such that f factors through g.

**Example 1.14.** (1)  $M \otimes R \simeq M$ ,  $M \otimes R^n \simeq M^n$ ;

- (2)  $M \otimes R/I \simeq M/IM$ ;
- (3)  $(M \otimes_R N)_P \simeq M_P \otimes_{R_P} N_P$ .

**Proposition 1.15.**  $(-\otimes N)$  is a right-exact functor. If

$$M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M'' \to 0$$

is a exact sequence of R-modules, then

$$M' \otimes N \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1} M \otimes N \xrightarrow{g \otimes 1} M'' \otimes N \to 0$$

is exact.

**Definition 1.16** (Flat module). N is *flat* if  $(-\otimes N)$  is an exact functor, that is, if

$$0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$$

is a exact sequence of R-modules, then

$$0 \to M' \otimes N \to M \otimes N \to M'' \otimes N \to 0$$

is exact.

To study flatness, we need to introduce Tor from homological algebra.

**Definition 1.17** (Projective module). An R-module M is projective if for any surjective map  $f: N_1 \to N_2$  and any map  $g: M \to N_2$ , there exists  $h: M \to N_1$  such that  $f \circ h = g$ .

Example 1.18. Free modules are flat and projective.

**Definition 1.19** (Complexes and homologies). A *complex* of *R*-modules is a sequence of *R*-modules with (differential) homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{F}: \cdots \to F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{i+1}} F_i \xrightarrow{\delta_i} F_{i-1} \to \cdots$$

such that  $\delta_i \delta_{i+1} = 0$  for each i. Denote the homology to be  $H_i(\mathcal{F}) = \ker(\delta_i)/\operatorname{im}(\delta_{i+1})$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is exact at degree i if  $H_i(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ . A morphism of complexes  $\phi: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$  is given by  $\phi_i: F_i \to G_i$  commuting with differentials, that is, we have a commutative diagram

$$\mathcal{F}: \qquad \cdots \longrightarrow F_{i+1} \longrightarrow F_i \longrightarrow F_{i-1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

$$\downarrow^{\phi_{i+1}} \qquad \downarrow^{\phi_i} \qquad \downarrow^{\phi_{i-1}}$$

$$\mathcal{G}: \qquad \cdots \longrightarrow G_{i+1} \longrightarrow G_i \longrightarrow G_{i-1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

This naturally gives morphisms between homologies  $\phi_i: H_i(\mathcal{F}) \to H_i(\mathcal{G})$ .

**Definition 1.20** (Projective resolution). A projective resolution of an R-module M is a complex of projective modules

$$\mathcal{F}: \cdots \to F_n \to \cdots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0$$

which is exact and  $\operatorname{coker}(\phi_1) = M$ . Sometimes we also denote it by

$$\mathcal{F}: \cdots \to F_n \to \cdots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0(\to M \to 0).$$

**Definition 1.21** (Left derived functor). Let T be a right-exact functor. Given a projective resolution of an R-module M:

$$\mathcal{F}: \cdots \to F_n \to \cdots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0(\to M \to 0).$$

Define the *left derived functor* by  $L_iT(M) := H_i(T\mathcal{F})$ , which is just the homology of

$$T\mathcal{F}: \cdots \to T(F_n) \to \cdots \to T(F_1) \to T(F_0) (\to T(M) \to 0).$$

We collect basic properties of derived functors here.

**Proposition 1.22.** (1) 
$$L_0T(M) = T(M)$$
;

(2)  $L_iT(M)$  is independent of the choice of projective resolution;

- (3) If M is projective, then  $L_iT(M) = 0$  for i > 0.
- (4) For a short exact sequence of R-modules

$$0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$$
,

we have a long exact sequence

 $\rightarrow L_3T(A) \rightarrow L_3T(B) \rightarrow L_3T(C)$  $\rightarrow L_2T(A) \rightarrow L_2T(B) \rightarrow L_2T(C)$  $\rightarrow L_1T(A) \rightarrow L_1T(B) \rightarrow L_1T(C)$  $\rightarrow T(A) \rightarrow T(B) \rightarrow T(C) \rightarrow 0.$ 

**Definition 1.23** (Tor). For an R-module N,  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(-,N)$  is defined by  $L_iT(-)$  where  $T=(-\otimes N)$ .

Remark 1.24. So to compute  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M,N)$ , we should pick a projective resolution  $\mathcal{F}$  of M and compute  $H_i(\mathcal{F} \otimes N)$ . Note that tensor products are symmetric, that is,  $M \otimes N \simeq N \otimes M$ , it can be seen that  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M,N) \simeq$  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(N,M)$ , and  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M,N)$  can be also computed by pick a projective resolution  $\mathcal{G}$  of N and compute  $H_i(M \otimes \mathcal{G})$ .

# Theorem 1.25. TFAE:

- (1) N is flat;
- (2)  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N) = 0$  for all i > 0 and all M; (3)  $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(M, N) = 0$  for all M.

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2): take a projective resolution  $\mathcal{F}$  of M, we need to compute  $H_i(\mathcal{F} \otimes N)$ . As N is flat,  $\mathcal{F} \otimes N$  is exact, hence  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M,N) = 0$ for all i > 0.

- $(2) \implies (3)$ : trivial.
- $(3) \implies (1)$ : this follows from the long exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M'',N) \to M' \otimes N \to M \otimes N \to M'' \otimes N \to 0.$$

# References

- [1] Atiyah, MacDonald, Introduction to commutative algebra.
- [2] Eisenbud, Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry.

Shanghai Center for Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Jiangwan Campus, 2005 Songhu Road, Shanghai, 200438, China

E-mail address: chenjiang@fudan.edu.cn