

2020 AG Summer School

Zhiyuan Li

July 2020

References for Lecture I-III: Hartshorne "Algebraic Geometry" Chapter I and Chapter II; Vakil "Foundations of Algebraic Geometry" Part II and Part V

1 Projective Scheme

All the rings will be commutative.

1.1 A warm up for projective geometry

* Projective spaces as complex manifolds

$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n := \mathbb{C}^n - \{(0, \dots, 0)\} / \sim$ has a complex manifold structure by associating a holomorphic local charts.

Definition 1.1.1 (**projective complex manifold**). A complex manifold M is said to be projective if there is a closed embedding $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ for some n .

Typical examples (from last week)

- The compact Riemann surfaces of genus g
- The Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(r, n)$ can be embedded into $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$ via the Plücker embedding.
- product, \mathbb{P}^n -bundle, polarized families over projective objects

* Projective spaces as schemes/varieties

We have seen from last week: $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ can be viewed as a scheme obtained by gluing $n + 1$ open subsets

$$U_i \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n = \mathrm{Spec} \left(\mathbb{C} \left[\frac{X_0}{X_i}, \dots, \frac{X_n}{X_i} \right] \right)$$

along the overlaps $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$ via the transition function $\frac{X_j}{X_i} \mapsto \frac{X_i}{X_j}$.

The **projective schemes** over \mathbb{C} are closed subschemes of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ under Zariski topology. The **projective complex varieties** are obtained by taking the closed

points of projective schemes. Such varieties can be viewed as the solution set of homogenous polynomial equations

$$f_1(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \dots = f_m(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

where f_i are homogenous.

• **Chow's Theorem/GAGA by Serre:** there is an equivalence

$$\{\text{projective complex manifolds}\} \Leftrightarrow \{\text{Projective complex varieties}\}$$

Goal of today: *functorial algebraic constructions of projective objects*

1.2 Proj constructions

The Spectrum functor defines an equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Spec} : \{\mathbf{rings}\} &\longrightarrow \{\mathbf{affine schemes}\} \\ R &\mapsto \text{Spec } R \end{aligned}$$

The projective schemes can be obtained via so called Proj functor.

Definition 1.2.1 (Proj construction for graded ring).

Let $S = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S_d$ be a graded ring and $S_+ = \bigoplus_{d > 0} S_d$ the irrelevant ideal. Then

$$\text{Proj } S = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } S \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is homogenous, } S_+ \not\subset \mathfrak{p}\}$$

We endow it with the induced topology.

- $\forall f \in S$ homogenous of degree d , there is a standard open subset

$$D_+(f) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj } S \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}\} \cong \text{Spec } S_{(f)}$$

where $S_{(f)}$ is the subring of S_f consisting of elements of the form r/f^n with r homogeneous and $\deg(r) = nd$.

- The **structure sheaf** $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj } S}$ on $\text{Proj } S$ is the unique sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj } S}$ which agrees with $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } S_{(f)}}$ on the standard open subset $D_+(f)$.

Example 1. 1. When $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n] = \bigoplus S_d$ with the usual grading, then $\text{Proj } S = \mathbb{P}_k^n$.

2. Write $T = k[y_0, \dots, y_m] = \bigoplus T_d$. Then

$$\text{Proj } \left(\bigoplus_d S_d \otimes T_d \right) = \mathbb{P}_k^n \times \mathbb{P}_k^m.$$

FACT: Proj defines a functor

$$\text{Proj} : \{\mathbf{graded ring over A}\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{projective scheme over A}\}$$

Some examples for morphisms between projective varieties.

Example 2.

- (1) **Veronese or d -uple embedding:** $\varphi_d : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+d}{d}-1}$ sending $[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ to $[x_0^d, x_0^{d-1}x_1, \dots, x_n^d]$.
- (2) **Segre embedding:** $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{nm+n+m}$ sending $([x_0, \dots, x_n], [y_0, \dots, y_m])$ to $[x_0y_0, \dots, x_ny_m]$.

Note that (2) also implies that the product of projective varieties over k remains projective.

The construction of Proj of a graded sheaf gives rise to a projective morphism.

Definition 1.2.2 (Proj construction of graded sheaf).

- A graded quasicoherent sheaf \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{O}_X -modules means

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_d$$

satisfying $\mathcal{F}_d \cdot \mathcal{F}_{d'} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{d+d'}$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{O}_X$.

- We can define $\text{Proj } \mathcal{F}$ by gluing the scheme $\text{Proj } \mathcal{F}(U)$, $U \subseteq X$.

Example 3. If \mathcal{E} is a locally free sheaf on X , then $\text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{E} = \bigoplus \text{Sym}^d \mathcal{E}$ is a graded \mathcal{O}_X -module. We obtain a projective bundle

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{Proj}(\text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{E})$$

over X .

Basic properties of a projective scheme.

- (a) Let X be a projective variety over k . Then X is proper and $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = k$.

The converse is almost true:

Chow's Lemma: *Every proper variety is birational to a projective variety.*

- (b) (Twisted sheaf) Suppose $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is generated by S_1 . The projective scheme $\text{Proj } S$ carries a natural invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_S(1) := \tilde{S}(1)$.

E.g. the projective space \mathbb{P}_k^n carries a natural invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(1)$. Hence the projective subvariety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$ can be endowed with an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ via restriction.

2 Geometry of projective varieties

Classical problem: find \sharp of polynomial equations. Geometrically, this is related to how varieties intersect.

The answer of this problem is to relate \sharp to some invariants of projective varieties.

2.1 Invariants of projective varieties

A motivating example is

Example 4 (Gauss' fundamental theorem of algebra). The polynomial equation $f(z) = 0$ has $\deg(f)$ solutions (with multiplicity) in \mathbb{C} . Equivalently, the homogenous polynomial equation $f(x, y) = 0$ has $\deg(f)$ solutions.

For three variables, the fundamental result is the following:

Theorem 2.1.1 (Bézout theorem for plane curves). *Let f, g be two distinct irreducible homogenous polynomials in $k[x, y, z]$. The equations*

$$f(x, y, z) = g(x, y, z) = 0$$

have $\deg(f) \cdot \deg(g)$ solutions (with multiplicity).

In other words, the two plane curves $C_1 = \{f(x, y, z) = 0\}$ and $C_2 = \{g(x, y, z) = 0\}$ in \mathbb{P}^2 meet at $\deg(f) \deg(g)$ points.

Remark. The Bézout's theorem tells that any two closed curves in \mathbb{P}^2 will have non-empty intersections. Note this fails for affine varieties, i.e. two affine lines in \mathbb{A}^2 do not necessarily meet.

The higher dimensional generalization requires the concept of Hilbert polynomial.

* Hilbert polynomial of projective varieties

Definition 2.1.2. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on a projective scheme $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$. By **Hilbert-Serre**, there exists a polynomial $P_{\mathcal{F}}(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$ such that

$$P_{\mathcal{F}}(d) = \chi(\mathcal{F}(d)) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i h^i(X, \mathcal{F}(d))$$

for $d \gg 1$, where $\mathcal{F}(d) = \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(d)$. $P_{\mathcal{F}}(z)$ is the Hilbert polynomial of \mathcal{F} and $P_X := P_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is called the Hilbert polynomial of X in \mathbb{P}^n .

Facts for P_X (not very trivial)

1. $P_X(d) = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))$ for d sufficiently large due to the Serre vanishing theorem, i.e. $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(d)) = 0$ for $i > 0$ if \mathcal{F} is coherent and d sufficiently large.
2. First invariant: $\deg(P_X) = \dim X = m$.

3. Seconding invariant: leading coefficients of P_X is $\frac{\deg(X)}{m!}$.
4. Invariant from the constant term: the arithmetic genus of X : $(-1)^m(P_X(0) - 1)$.

All these invariants are deformation invariant.

Example 5 (Invariants determines the geometry). 1. if $\deg(X) = 1$, then X is a projective linear subspace in \mathbb{P}^n .

2. More generally, if X is non-degenerate in \mathbb{P}^n , then $\dim X + \deg(X) \geq n + 1$.

* Bézout's theorem

With the knowledge of the degree, we can state Bézout's theorem in arbitrary dimensional projective space.

Theorem 2.1.3. *Let X be a projective variety in \mathbb{P}_k^n with $\dim X \geq 1$ and H be a hypersurface not containing X . Denote by Z_i the irreducible components of the intersection of H and X . Then*

$$\deg(X) \cdot \deg(H) = \sum_{Z_i} \mu(X, H; Z_i) \deg(Z_i)$$

where $\mu(X, H; Z_i)$ is the intersection multiplicity at Z_i .

The proof relies on computing the Hilbert polynomials via the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-\deg(H)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \cap H} \rightarrow 0$$

Remark: if \mathfrak{p}_i is the prime ideal corresponds to Z_i , then $\mu(X, H; Z_i)$ is the length of $(k[x_0, \dots, x_n]/(I_X + I_H))_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ as a $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ -module.

Important consequences

- For projective variety X in \mathbb{P}^n of dimension d , the intersection number with d general hyperplanes

$$H_1 \cdot H_2 \dots \cdot H_d \cdot X$$

is positive. As all hyperplanes are linearly equivalent, it is the same as $H^d \cdot X > 0$.

- More generally, if we call the intersection $L := X \cap H$ the hyperplane class on Y , then $L^d \cdot Y > 0$ for any subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ of dimension d .

2.2 Generic intersection

Among the questions for intersection multiplicity, a natural one is when the intersection multiplicity will be one.

Definition 2.2.1. Let X be a variety over k . A point $p \in X$ is smooth $\dim X = \dim T_p X$.

Theorem 2.2.2 (Bertini Theorem). *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V) \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ be a smooth subvariety of dimension greater than zero. Then for a generic hypersurface H , $Y = X \cap H$ is again smooth.*

Proof. 1. Note that the set of hyperplanes is parametrized by the dual projective space $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$.

2. To say that a hyperplane is generic is equivalent to saying that there is a nonempty open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$ consisting of points corresponding to that hyperplane and such that each hyperplane in U possesses the desired property.

3. $H \cap X$ will be smooth at x if $T_x X \not\subset T_x H$.

4. Consider the subset

$$Z = \{(H, x) \mid x \in H, T_x X \subset T_x H\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \times X,$$

it is a closed subset.

5. The set of H in $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$ for which $H \cap X$ is singular is the image of Z via the projection $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$.

6. The assertion follows by an easy dimension count: $\dim(Z) = n - 1$. \square

A more general statement is as follows:

Theorem 2.2.3. *Suppose $\text{char}(k) = 0$. Then for any linear system $f : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$ and H a generic hyperplane, the pullback $f^{-1}(H)$ is smooth outside the base locus of f .*

It fails in positive characteristic fields because the existence of purely inseparable map.

3 Ampleness criteria

Guiding Problem: How to determine the projectivity of a scheme?

Answer: projectivity \iff existence of **ample line bundle**.

3.1 Linear system

We may identify three concepts: line bundle, Cartier divisor and invertible sheaf.

- Given a morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, we obtain an invertible sheaf $\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$.
- Conversely, given a base point free invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} , we obtain morphisms

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{L}} : X \rightarrow |\mathcal{L}| = \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \mathcal{L})^\vee)$$

So X is projective if and only if there exists a base point free invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} such that $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a closed embedding.

Lemma 3.2. $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a closed embedding if and only if the sections of \mathcal{L} separate points and tangent vectors, i.e.

1. $\forall p, q \in X$, there exists $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ such that $s(p) = 0$ and $s(q) \neq 0$.
2. $\forall p \in X$, the image of $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ with $s(p) = 0$ spans $(T_p X)^\vee$.

Definition 3.2.1. We say that a Cartier divisor D (or an invertible sheaf) is very ample if $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ defines an embedding of X . We say that D is ample if mD is very ample for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Useful Properties

- (very) Ample + (very) Ample is (very) ample. (Segre map)
- (very) Ample + Base point free is (very) ample. (Segre map)
- Invertible + sufficiently ample is ample (above+Serre's theorem)

A consequence is the every divisor is the difference of two ample divisors.

- Ampleness \iff for $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$, the open subsets $X_f = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ which are affine form a base of the topology of X . (local check)

The guiding problem becomes how to characterize (very) ample line bundles/divisors.

Proposition 3.2.2 (Serre's cohomological criterion). *TFAE*

- D is ample
- for any quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} , $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(mD)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and m sufficiently large.

As a corollary, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite morphism and D a Cartier divisor on Y , then $f^*(D)$ is ample if D is ample.

Proof. We only prove the corollary. If f is finite, then

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(mf^*D)) = H^i(Y, f_*\mathcal{F}(mD)) = 0$$

for $m \gg 0$. □

Note that this fails if f is no longer finite.

3.3 Ampleness v.s. positivity

In complex geometry, a famous result is

Kodaira embedding: Let X be a compact complex manifold. A line bundle L is positive (i.e. it admits a Hermitian metric whose curvature form is positive (1,1)-form) iff L is ample.

Example 6. Any positive degree divisor D on a curve is ample.

Nakai-Moishezon's criterion shows that ampleness is in fact numerical properties.

Theorem 3.3.1 (Nakai-Moishezon). *If D is a Cartier divisor on a projective k -scheme X , and for every subvariety Y of X of dimension n , $(D^n \cdot Y) > 0$, then D is ample.*

Proof. Sketch of the proof. The proof proceeds by induction on the dimension of X . When $\dim X = 1$, this is from last week. Suppose it holds for $\dim X \leq n-1$.

Step 1. for $m \gg 0$, $|mD|$ is non empty.

Write $D = A - B$ as a difference of two very ample divisors A, B . Then we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mD - B) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X((m+1)D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A((m+1)D) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mD - B) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(mD) \rightarrow 0.$$

By long exact sequence, inductive hypothesis and Serre's vanishing $H^i(\mathcal{O}_A((m+1)D)) = H^i(\mathcal{O}_B(mD)) = 0$ for $i > 0$. One obtain

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD)) = H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X((m+1)D))$$

for $i \geq 2$ and m large enough.

By RR, we know

$$\chi(X, mD) = h^0(mD) - h^1(mD) + \text{constant}$$

is asymptotically of the form $\frac{m^n D^n}{n!} + \dots$, which increases to $+\infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $h^0(mD)$ is nonzero.

Step 2. for $m \gg 0$, mD is base point free. Similar idea as above.

Step 3. Consider the morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow |mD|$, then $D = \varphi^*H$. If φ is not finite, then φ contracts some curve C . But

$$D \cdot C = \phi^*H \cdot C = H \cdot \phi_*C = 0$$

which is a contradiction. □

For X being a surface, this criterion is equivalent to the following conditions

- $L \cdot C > 0$ for any curve $C \subseteq X$.
- $L^2 > 0$

3.4 Cones and Kleiman's ampleness criteria

Let X be a scheme over k . Note that the sum of ample divisors remain ample, this yields the concept of ample cones:

Definition 3.4.1. $\text{Amp}(X)$: the cone generated by ample divisors.

A consequence of Nakai's criterion is that $\text{Amp}(X)$ is open. Moreover, we have a complete description of this cone only using the intersection with curves.

Definition 3.4.2. A divisor D on X is nef if $D \cdot C \geq 0$ for any curve $C \subseteq X$. Then we define $\text{NE}(X)$ as the cone generated by nef divisors.

Theorem 3.4.3 (Kleiman). *Suppose X is a projective scheme over k . Then*

1. *The nef cone is the closure of the ample cone.*
2. *The ample cone is the interior of the nef cone.*

Example 7. The ample cone of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is

$$\{aD_1 + bD_2, a > 0, b > 0\}$$

where D_1 and D_2 are two rulings.

Example 8. The ample cone of $\text{BL}_p\mathbb{P}^2$ is

$$\{aL - bE, a > b > 0\}$$

where $L = \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ and E is the exceptional divisor. In particular, $2L - E$ is ample (in fact very ample).

Similar computation shows that for $\text{BL}_p\mathbb{P}^3$, the divisor $2L - E$ is ample.